

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/726,882	ARIK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Han	2875	

All Participants:

(1) Jonathan Withrow.

(2) Jason M. Han.

Status of Application: 71

(3) S.P.E. Sandra O'Shea.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 November 2006

Time: 2PM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Independent Claim 39

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend Independent Claim 39 to recite a housing, whereby the LED is disposed therein, and thus ensuring patentability. Applicant further agreed to amend the Drawings (at least one figure displaying each of the fluid current generator and synthetic jet actuator) to incorporate the claimed housing..